



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,288	03/25/2004	Christophe Maleville	4717-10500	5090
28765	7590	06/20/2005		EXAMINER
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP			ISAAC, STANETTA D	
1700 K STREET, N.W.				
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AK

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/808,288	MALEVILLE ET AL.
	Examiner Stanetta D. Isaac	Art Unit 2812

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 March 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.



LYNNE A. GURLEY

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

TC 2800, AU 2812

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/25/04 &amp; 6/18/04</u> .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is response to the application filed on 3/25/04. Currently, claims 1-18 are pending.

#### ***Priority***

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

The information disclosure statements (IDS) were submitted on 3/25/04 and 6/18/04. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

#### ***Specification***

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 6-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Yokokawa et al., US Patent 6,312,797.

Yokokawa discloses the semiconductor method as claimed. See figure 1, and corresponding text, where Yokokawa teaches pertaining to claim 1, a method for preparing a bonding surface of a semiconductor layer of a wafer comprising: treating the bonding surface to oxidize contaminants (figure 1; col. 4, lines 30-67; col. 5, lines 1-6; col. 6, lines 19-35); cleaning the bonding surface to remove essentially all remaining contaminants (figure 1; col. 4, lines 54-67; col. 5, lines 1-6; col. 6, lines 19-35); and oxidizing the bonding surface with ozone to improve the hydrophilic properties of the bonding surface (figure 1; col. 4, lines 54-67, *Note*: the Examiner takes the position that it is inherent that the bonding surface will be oxidized by the ozone to improve the hydrophilic properties. See *Stanley Wolf and Richard N. Tauber, Silicon Processing For The VLSI Era, 2000, Lattice Press, Second Edition, pages 130-131*).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 2, wherein the cleaning of the bonding surface comprises treating the bonding surface with a first solution capable of removing isolated and encrusted particles, and then treating the bonding surface with a second solution capable of removing metallic contamination (col. 4, lines 54-67; col. 5, lines 1-6).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 3, wherein the first solution is a SC1 solution that includes ammonium hydroxide (NH<sub>4</sub>OH), hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and deionized water (col. 4, lines 54-67; col. 6, lines 19-35).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 4, wherein the second solution SC2 solution that includes hydrochloric acid (HCl), hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and deionized water (col. 4, lines 54-67; col. 6, lines 19-35).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 6, wherein the oxidizing comprises at least one of immersing the bonding surface in an ozone bath, or spraying ozone droplets onto the bonding surface, or exposing the bonding surface to an ozone gas (col. 4, lines 54-65).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 7, which further comprises preparing a bonding surface of a second wafer by treating the bonding surface to oxidize contaminants (col. 4, lines 30-67; col. 5, lines 1-6), cleaning the bonding surface to remove essentially all remaining contaminants (figure 1; col. 4, lines 54-67; col. 5, lines 1-6; col. 6, lines 19-35), and oxidizing the bonding surface with ozone to improve the hydrophilic properties of the bonding surface (figure 1; col. 4, lines 54-67, *Note*: the Examiner takes the position that it is inherent that the bonding surface will be oxidized by the ozone to improve the hydrophilic properties. See *Stanley Wolf and Richard N. Tauber, Silicon Processing For The VLSI Era, 2000, Lattice Press, Second Edition, pages 130-131*), followed by contacting the bonding surface of the first wafer to the bonding surface of the second wafer to effect bonding therebetween and form a structure (figure 1; col. 5, lines 20-45).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 8, wherein the bonding is at least partly achieved by hydrophilic adhesion of the bonding surfaces of the first and second wafers (col. 5, lines 25-26).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 9, further comprises applying a heat treatment to the structure to strengthen the bond between the first and second wafers (col. 5, lines 45-57).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 10, wherein the bonding surface of the second wafer exists on an oxide layer (figure 1; col. 5, lines 26-45).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 11, wherein the semiconductor structure is a semiconductor on insulator (SOI) structure (figure 1; col. 5, lines 58-67; col. 6, lines 1-3).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 12, wherein the oxide layer is an insulating layer (col. 5, lines 26-45).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 13, wherein the bonding surfaces of each of the first and second wafers exist on an oxide layer (figure 1; col. 5, lines 20-26).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 14, wherein each oxide layer is an insulating layer (figure 1; col. 5, lines 20-26).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 15, wherein at least one of the first or second wafers includes a zone of weakness to facilitate detachment of the structure (figure 1; col. 4, lines 45-54; col. 5, lines 27-45).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 16, wherein the semiconductor wafer comprises silicon, germanium, SiGe, AlGaAs, GaAS, InGaAs, AlGaAsP, InGaAsP, InP, or another Group III-Group V semiconductor or Group II-Group VI semiconductor (col. 4, lines 30-34).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 17, wherein the first wafer includes a zone of weakness to facilitate detachment of a layer that includes the bonding surface (figure 1; col. 4, lines 45-54; col. 5, 27-45).

Yokokawa teaches, pertaining to claim 18, in a method for preparing a bonding surface of a semiconductor layer of a wafer for bonding to a second wafer wherein the bonding surface is cleaned to remove contaminants (figure 1; col. 4, lines 54-67; col. 5, lines 1-6; col. 5, lines 20-

26), the improvement which comprises oxidizing the bonding surface with ozone to improve the hydrophilic properties of the bonding surface (figure 1; col. 4, lines 54-67, *Note*: the Examiner takes the position that it is inherent that the bonding surface will be oxidized by the ozone to improve the hydrophilic properties. See *Stanley Wolf and Richard N. Tauber, Silicon Processing For The VLSI Era, 2000, Lattice Press, Second Edition, pages 130-131*).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokawa et al., US Patent 6,312,797 in view of *Stanley Wolf and Richard N. Tauber, Silicon Processing For The VLSI Era, 2000, Lattice Press, Second Edition, pages 135-137*.

Yokokawa discloses the semiconductor method substantially as claimed. See preceding rejection of claims 1-4 and 6-18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

However, Yokokawa fails to show pertaining to claim 5, wherein the cleaning step includes applying ultrasonic energy to assist in removing particulate contamination from the bonding surface.

Wolf teaches, on pages 135-137, a conventional method of removing particles from wafers that involves the use of ultrasonic energy.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate, wherein the cleaning step includes applying ultrasonic energy to assist in removing particulate contamination from the bonding surface, in the method of Yokokawa, pertaining to claim 5, according to the conventional teachings of Wolf, with the motivation that by using a cleaning technique that includes the use of ultrasonic energy, the removal of the contaminates can be performed without any physical contact to the wafer making the contamination removal more effective.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stanetta D. Isaac whose telephone number is 571-272-1671. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30am -6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on 571-272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



LYNNE A. GURLEY  
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER  
TC 2800, AU 2812

Stanetta Isaac  
Patent Examiner  
June 8, 2005